## CWA COMPLIANCE EVALUATION INSPECTION REPORT U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION 5

### Purpose:

Compliance Evaluation Sampling Inspection

Facility:

FOIA Dairy
FOIA Ex. 6 (Personal

Greenleaf, WI 54126

**Brown County** 

#### NPDES Permit Number:

N/A

### Date of Inspection:

June 2, 2014

EPA Representatives:

Joan Rogers, Environmental Scientist

312-886-2785

rogers.joan@epa.gov

John "Jack" Bajor, Environmental Engineer

312-353-4633

Bajor.john@epa.gov

Michelle Heger, Environmental Engineer

312-886-4510

Heger.michelle@epa.gov

#### State Representatives:

None

Facility Representatives:

Owner and General Manager

FOIA Exemption (b) (6)

FOIA Exemption (b) (6)

Colleen Loppnow, Agronomist

Report Prepared by:

Joan Rogers, EPA

Report Date:

July 9, 2014

Inspector Signature

### 1. BACKGROUND

The purpose of this report is to describe, evaluate and document the Dairy's compliance with the Clean Water Act (CWA) at its Greenleaf, Wisconsin facility on June 2, 2014. This inspection was performed pursuant to Section 308(a) of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, as amended.

Dairy is a dairy operation that maintains approximately 450 mature dairy cows. They also have 200 heifers on site and approximately 120 calves are sent off to be raised by another facility. Due to the number of mature dairy cows, the facility is a medium animal feeding operation.

Surface water on the east side of the facility flows to the east to an adjacent intermittent unnamed tributary. This tributary flows approximately five miles to perennial Branch River. The Branch River flows approximately 23 miles to Manitowoc River which in turn flows another 12 miles to Lake Michigan.

On the west side of the facility, surface water would flow to a ditch that flows south along the western edge of the production area. The ditch flows into another intermittent unnamed tributary south of the facility. This intermittent unnamed tributary joins with the one that flows on the east side of the facility approximately 0.5 miles to the southeast of the facility.

South of the production area, surface water flows to the south and to storm water channels that flow south to the intermittent unnamed tributary south of the facility.

The facility has never been inspected by Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (WDNR).

#### 2. SITE INSPECTION

Table 1: Site Entry

Arrival Time:	10:20 A.M.
Temperature:	65°F
Precipitation:	Rain during the inspection
Presented credentials?	Yes
Credentials presented to whom and at what time?	Facility owner at 10:20 A.M.
EPA vehicle parked in approved location?	Yes
Location where EPA vehicle was parked?	By the office
Disposable boots worn?	Yes
Other bio-security measures taken:	None

# <u>2.1 Records Review</u> (The following Records Review tables reflect information provided before the walk-through of the facility, unless otherwise noted.)

### Table 2: Documents

Checklist(s) Used		
R5 CAFO Inspection Checklist		
Facility Documents Reviewed:		
None		
If photographs or documents we	re taken, does the facility consider any to	No
be Confidential Business Inform	ation (CBI)?	
Which information does the	None	•
facility consider to be CBI?		
the interpolation to be care.		

**Table 3: Facility Description** 

Table 3: Facility Description							
Type of Animal	Number of	Capacity	Type of Confinement				
·	Animals						
Mature Dairy Cattle	450	550	Freestall Barn				
Heifers	200	200	Barns and Pasture				
Minimum Number of	Animals in pr	evious 5 years:	Same				
Maximum Number o	f Animals in p	revious 5 years:	Same				
Number of Animals t	hat are stabled	l/confined	Same				
and/or fed/maintaine	d for 45 days o	r more in					
previous 12 months:							
Amount of Liquid M	anure Generat	ed per year:	Unknown				
Amount of Solid Mar	iure Generated	l per year:	Unknown				
Does the facility have	No						
SIC or NAICS code:	0241						
Do animals have dire	ct access to W	OUS?	No				
Are crops, vegetation	, forage growt	h, or post	No				
harvest residues sust	ained in the no	rmal growing	· ·				
season over any porti	ion of the lot o	r facility where					
animals are kept?		1.11-2-					
What is the area (acr	es) of the prod	uction area?	Approximately 10 acres				
What is the area (acres) of the pasture?			Approximately 3-4 acres				
How many employees (not counting family			Less than 5				
members)?							
Other facilities under	r common own	ership (name an	d address): None				

Table 4: Livestock Waste Storage

Type of Storage	Storage Capacity	Type of Liner	Depth Markers	Last Time Waste was	Amount of Waste	Days of Storage		
Ũ	1 .		Present	Removed	Removed			
Pond #1	3.5 million gallons	Concrete	No			1.5 years with Pond #2		
Pond #2	5 million gallons	Clay	No			1.5 years with Pond #1		
Pit below slatted floor in Heifer barn	8' x 70' x 110'	Concrete	No			Pumped to Pond #1		
	t site of stor	age structu	re design?	No. Stored at	Brown Coun	ty office.		
	stored for t	man of man or a		No	· ·			
• .	cribe where id where it		, how it is					
the storage	ls kept of the e structures	?		No				
	the last timed, either p	=	structure	Fall 2013				
What amo wastewate storage str	unt of man r was remo ucture was r completel	ved the last emptied, ei	time the	1 to 1.5 million gallons				
Do the fac	ility person all diversio	nel inspect	and keep	No				
Do the fac	ility person all impoun	nel inspect	and keep	No				
	ility person all the wate	, —	and keep	No				
Do the facility personnel perform routine visual inspections and keep records of the production area?			Performs visual inspections daily but does not keep records.					
Does the w managed of If yes, pro	vaste storag outfall or di vide a descr cription of t	scharge poi ription of th	int? ie outfall	No				
Has the fa	cility had a s of livestoc he past year	k waste to s		No				

Are there safety devices installed around	No
any manure storage ponds? (Barriers at	
the end of manure push off platforms,	
fences around pond, signage.)	

Table 5: Livestock Waste Management

### Describe the way manure is collected and disposed of at the facility:

Manure and process wastewater from the Milking Parlor is pumped to Pond #1.

Manure and process wastewater in the freestall barns is manually scraped with a skid steer to a reception pit and it is then pumped to Pond #1.

Manure and process wastewater from the pit below the slatted floor of the Heifer Barn is pumped out and either land applied or put in Pond #1.

Silage leachate and process wastewater from the Silage Pad flows to a pipe at ground level that catches the first flush in a tank. The contents of the tank are pumped out and put into Pond #1.

### Describe the way used bedding is collected and disposed of at the facility:

Sand is used for bedding and it flows with the manure and process wastewater and is eventually land applied.

### Are mortality records kept?

Yes

### Describe the way mortalities are managed at the facility:

The facility pays a local Mink Ranch to pick up mortalities.

## What type of method is used to provide drinking water for the animals?

Waterers with a float system.

### Describe the way spilled drinking water is collected and disposed of at the facility:

Spilled drinking water is handled with the manure and goes to Pond #1.

### Describe the way mist cooling water is collected and disposed of at the facility:

No mist cooling system at the facility.

#### Describe how chemicals are stored and how used or spilled chemicals are stored:

Iodine, Copper Sulfate and Tetracycline are stored in the shed. No information on how the chemicals are disposed of was discussed.

## Describe the way water that has been used to wash/flush barns is collected and disposed of at the facility:

Barns are not flushed.

### Describe where water comes from that is used to clean and/or flush. (Wells, city,

Well water is used for drinking water.

## Describe the way feed is contained and how runoff from feed is collected and disposed of at the facility:

Corn silage and haylage are stored in concrete bunkers, covered with tarps. Proteins are stored in bins.

Process wastewater from feed flows to a pipe that is connected to an underground 6000 gallon tank. The contents of the tank are sucked out periodically and put in Pond #1. Process wastewater that doesn't make it into the pipe and then the tank flows to a storm water pipe at ground level and discharges on the hillside south of the Hay Barn and to the ditch on the west side of the facility.

### If a dairy, describe how process wastewater from the plate cooler water is collected and disposed of at the facility:

Plate cooler water is collected and reused for animal drinking water.

### If a dairy, describe how process wastewater from the cleaning of the milking parlor is collected and disposed of at the facility:

Flows to a drain and then to the reception pit where it is then pumped to Pond #1.

### If a dairy, describe how process wastewater from the cleaning of the milk tanks is disposed of at the facility:

Flows to a drain and then to the reception pit where it is then pumped to Pond #1.

If a dairy, how many times per day are cows milked?

Table 6: Land Application and Disposal of Manure and Process Wastewater

Does the facility perform and keep records of the	Yes
	105
manure testing?	
When was the last time a sample was taken of the	Fall 2013
manure and/or process wastewater?	
Describe the process to take the manure and/or	The manure is mixed and then
process wastewater sample.	a grab sample is taken from
	the flow as it is being pumped
	from the pond, or out of the
	tanker.
Number of acres available for land application:	1200 acres, of which only 100
	acres are rented.
Are land application records kept?	Yes
Who applies the manure and process wastewater	Facility
to the fields?	
Does the facility perform and keep records of the	Yes
soil testing?	
Is manure transferred off-site to another party?	No
Are manure transfer records maintained?	N/A
Do facility personnel perform periodic inspection	Yes
of land application equipment?	

Table 7: Receiving Surface Waters

### Describe the surface flow pathways:

Surface water on the east side of the facility flows to the east to an adjacent intermittent unnamed tributary. This tributary flows approximately five miles to perennial Branch River. The Branch River flows approximately 23 miles to Manitowoc River which in turn flows another 12 miles to Lake Michigan.

On the west side of the facility, surface water would flow to a ditch that flows south along the western edge of the production area. The ditch flows into another intermittent unnamed tributary south of the facility. This intermittent unnamed tributary joins with the one that flows on the east side of the facility approximately 0.5 miles to the southeast of the facility.

South of the production area, surface water flows to the south and to storm water channels that flow south to the intermittent unnamed tributary south of the facility.

How many months out of the year is there flow in the nearest surface water pathway:	During the spring and during rain events.
Are there any storm water pathways entering the facility?	No
Are there any clean water ponds on site?	No
What is the name of the first waterway	The Manitowoc River is identified as a
that is identified as a Traditional	Traditional Navigable Water from the
Navigable Water (TNW) for surface	fixed railroad bridge above 21st Street,
flow from the facility?	2.37 miles above the mouth.
Is the surface water pathway nearest to	Intermittent
the facility considered to be ephemeral, intermittent or perennial?	
Has the surface water pathway nearest	No, but the Branch River has been
to the facility been assessed for water	assessed and it is listed on the draft 2012
quality?	Impaired Waters list, impaired for PCBs.

Table 8: Nutrient Management Plan

NMP on site?	No, it is being updated. EPA did not view the NMP.
Date NMP Submitted:	First NMP was developed over 10 years ago. It is updated yearly.
Planner Name/Company:	Colleen Loppnow, CCA
Date that the NMP was last updated:	June 2014

Table 9: Land Application Records (details of the records reviewed)

EPA did not view any land application records.

### Table 10: Facility Records (details of the records reviewed)

EPA did not view any land application records.


#### Table 11: NPDES Permit

The facility does not have an NPDES Permit.

#### 2.2 Walkthrough of the Facility

EPA began the walkthrough of the facility at approximately 11:15 A.M. The facility owner's technical service provider, who had been present during the records review, accompanied the facility owner and EPA on the walk through.

At the Milking Parlor and observed that the process wastewater from the Milking Parlor and the Milk Tanks flowed into a pit inside the Holding Alley where it was then pumped to Pond #1.




1: IMGP1089

Description: Milking Parlor and Milk Tank wash water are flow into a pit where it is

pumped to Pond #1.

Location: Milk Tank Room Camera Direction: Down

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 11:27 A.M.



2: IMGP1092

Description: Reception Pit in Holding Alley for Milking Parlor. Manure and process

wastewater is then pumped to Pond #1.

Location: Holding Alley Camera Direction: West

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 11:30 A.M.

On the east side of the Milking Parlor, EPA observed that there was no containment for manure and process wastewater from the Cattle Walkway. Between the Milking Parlor and the Heifer Barn just to the east there was a large amount of standing storm water. The facility owner had placed a submersible pump in the north end of the large amount of standing storm water and the water was being pumped to the concrete pad on the north side of the Heifer Barn. The storm water flowed over the concrete and to a storm water channel east of the Heifer Barn. This storm water channel connected via culvert pipes to an intermittent unnamed tributary that flowed south. Precipitation coming into contact with manure and process wastewater in the Cattle Walkway would flow to the large amount of standing storm water and then would be pumped across the concrete and into the storm water channel and flow into the intermittent unnamed tributary.



3: IMGP1094

Description: Large amount of standing storm water from precipitation between Heifer

Barn and Milking Parlor.

Location: East of Milking Parlor

Camera Direction: South

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 11:32 A.M.



### 4: IMGP1095

Description: A pump inside white bucket pumps water from the large amount of standing storm water to a storm water ditch on the east side of the production area.

Location: Northwest corner of Heifer Barn

Camera Direction: East

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 11:36 A.M.



Description: Northern door of Heifer Barn has track in and track out of manure and process wastewater. Water pumped from the between the barns outlets in front of the Heifer Barn and travels across the concrete to a storm water channel.

Location: Northwest corner of Heifer Barn

Camera Direction: East

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 11:37 A.M.



6: IMGP1097

Description: Water pumped from between the barns flows to the beginning of a storm

water channel east of the Heifer Barn. Location: Northeast of the Heifer Barn

Camera Direction: Down

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 11:38 A.M.

EPA walked along the eastern side of the two manure ponds. Just east of the ponds, the intermittent unnamed tributary flowed to the south. There was water in the tributary on the day of the inspection.

Pond #1 is north of Pond #2 and is lined with concrete. It had approximately four feet of freeboard on the day of the inspection. A channel in the berm separating the two ponds allows manure to flow from Pond #1 to Pond #2. Pond #2 is lined with clay and also had approximately four feet of freeboard. There were no staff gauges in either pond and the vegetation on the berms was very tall. There also was a small amount of woody growth in the berms.

South of Pond #2, a storm water channel from the barn area flowed east to the intermittent unnamed tributary. There was water in the storm water channel on the day of the inspection.



7: IMGP1101

Description: Manure flows from Pond #1 (on left) to Pond #2 via the channel between them.

Location: On berm between Pond #1 and Pond #2

Camera Direction: East

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 11:45 A.M.



8: IMGP1102

Description: Vegetation on berms needs to be mowed. Storm water channel flows along the east side of the manure ponds.

Location: Pond #2 Camera Direction: North

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 11:47 A.M.



17: IMGP1106

Description: Storm water channel from the production area goes around the south side of the manure ponds and joins with the storm water channel on the east side of the production area.

Location: Southwest corner of Pond #2


Camera Direction: Southwest

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 11:59 A.M.

EPA walked to the southern end of the Freestall Barns and noted track in and track out of manure and feed at the south door of Freestall Barn #3. During precipitation events, the manure and process wastewater would flow with the precipitation to the south and to a storm water channel at the southern end of the facility. This storm water channel flowed south and west through a stand of trees to another intermittent unnamed tributary which flowed to the east. EPA observed runoff from the track in and track out of Freestall Barn #3 flowing to the south.

EPA walked to the southern end of the feedlots south of the freestall barns. The feedlots were completely denuded of vegetation and runoff was observed flowing off the lots and into the storm water channel on the south side of the facility.

EPA walked back to the north and observed the south doors of the Maternity Barn (which was attached to the west side of Freestall Barn #3), Freestall Barn #1 and the Dry Cow Barn. Track in and track out by these doors was also observed. A well defined storm water channel flowed from the Dry Cow Barn to the storm water channel on the south side of the facility.



18: IMGP1107

Description: Track in and track out from south door of the Freestall Barn #3.

Location: South of Freestall Barn #3

Camera Direction: West

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:03 P.M.




19: IMGP1108

Description: Runoff from track in and track out from Freestall Barn #3 flows to the south and to a storm water channel.

Location: Southwest of Freestall Barn #3

Camera Direction: Southwest

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:04 P.M.



Description: Runoff from feedlots pools on the south side and then flows into the storm

water channel to the south. Location: South of feedlots Camera Direction: North

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:08 P.M.



Description: Maternity Barn on the west side of Freestall Barn #3. Track in and track out from the barn door flows with precipitation to the storm water channel to the south.

Location: West side of Freestall Barn #3

Camera Direction: North

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:13 P.M.



23: IMGP1112

Description: Process wastewater from track in and track out from barn doors flows to the south to the storm water channel.

Location: Southwest of Freestall Barn #3

Camera Direction: Southwest

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:15 P.M.

EPA walked back to the north on the west side of the manure ponds and east of the freestall barns. At the north door of Freestall Barn #2, EPA noted track in and track out of manure and feed. With precipitation, the track in and track out would flow to the north and to the large amount of standing storm water between the Milking Parlor and the Heifer Barn which was observed previously. EPA noted plumes of process wastewater flowing from the north door of Freestall Barn #2 to the north toward the large amount of standing storm water.



24: IMGP1113

Description: No containment for manure and process wastewater from the barn door and runoff can flow with precipitation to the north to the large amount of standing storm water between the Milking Parlor and the Heifer Barn which is pumped to the storm water channel.

Location: North of Freestall Barn #2

Camera Direction: Northwest

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:24 P.M.



Description: Manure and process wastewater is not contained in the barn.

Location: North of Freestall Barn #2

Camera Direction: East

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:24 P.M.



26: IMGP1115

Description: Manure and process wastewater from Freestall Barn #2 flows to the north with precipitation. Note the large amount of standing storm water to the left of the barn. This large amount of standing storm water has a pump in its north side.

Location: North of Freestall Barn #2

Camera Direction: Northeast

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:24 P.M.

EPA then walked to the west to observe the feed storage area. A small amount of feed had fallen over the top of the wall on the north side of the bunker. Silage was stored on the Silage Pad under tarps in concrete storage bunkers.

The first flush of precipitation and process wastewater from silage leachate was designed to flow to a tank that was buried below the northwest side of the Silage Pad. Flow was to enter the tank via a 4" pipe inlet that was flush with the ground. EPA observed that the inlet was blocked by debris and none of the process wastewater from the Silage Pad was entering the pipe. Instead, the flow continued to the west and entered a 12" storm water pipe at the northeast corner of the Hay Barn which was also flush with the ground. EPA observed a distinct plume of process wastewater from precipitation mixed with silage leachate flowing into the storm water pipe.

The storm water pipe outlet was located in the hillside south of the Hay Barn. The mixed process wastewater and storm water exited the pipe and flowed down the hill and to a ditch on the west side of the facility. This ditch flowed to the south and into the intermittent unnamed tributary on the south side of the facility.

Additional silage leachate was pooled on the ground at the southeast corner of the Hay Barn. The process wastewater from the silage leachate could be transported with precipitation to the west and down the hillside to the ditch.




28: IMGP1117

Description: North wall of Silage Bunkers.

Location: Silage Pad

Camera Direction: Southeast

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:29 P.M.



Description: Silage is stored in under tarps in concrete storage bunkers.

Location: Silage Pad

Camera Direction: Southeast

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:30 P.M.



30: IMGP1119

Description: Silage leachate flows toward a hole that is designed for first flush capture.

Inlet hole location is where the person is standing.

Location: Silage Pad

Camera Direction: Southwest

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:30 P.M.



Description: Silage leachate bypassed the small hole for first flush and flowed to a storm

water pipe.

Location: Silage Pad

Camera Direction: West and down Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:31 P.M.



32: IMGP1121

Description: Silage also pooled on the south side of the Hay Barn.

Location: Southeast corner of the Hay Barn

Camera Direction: Down

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:32 P.M.



33: IMGP1122

Description: Storm water pipe outlet in the hillside south of the Hay Barn (red circle).

Location: South of the Hay Barn Camera Direction: Southwest Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:33 P.M.



38: IMGP1127

Description: Flow of process wastewater from the outlet of the storm water pipe in the Silage Pad to the hillside south of the Hay Barn.

Location: South of Hay Barn Camera Direction: Northeast Date/Time: June 2, 2014 1:22 P.M. EPA walked back to the vehicle and gathered sampling supplies. EPA offered to split the samples and the facility owner declined. EPA took the first sample, S01, named "Silage", at 12:55 P.M. from the flow of precipitation and silage leachate process wastewater into the storm water pipe on the west side of the Silage Pad.

EPA walked to the west and took the second sample, S02, named "Confluence w/Ditch", at 1:08 P.M. from the flow of process wastewater after flowing out of the pipe and down the hillside as it flowed into the ditch on the west side of the facility. A duplicate sample, S03, named "Duplicate S02", was also taken in this location.

EPA then followed the ditch to the south, observing and documenting that there was water in the ditch all the way to the confluence with the intermittent unnamed tributary on the south side of the facility. Runoff from an agricultural crop field west of the ditch flowed into the ditch in at least one location. For this reason, EPA did not take a sample from the ditch at the confluence with the intermittent unnamed tributary on the south side of the facility. Any nutrients in the sample would not be able to be shown to come solely from the process wastewater from the silage leachate.



34: IMGP1123

Description: Sample S01, "Silage", taken at 12:55 P.M. from the flow of precipitation and silage leachate process wastewater into the storm water pipe on the west side of the Silage Pad.

Location: Silage Pad Camera Direction: Down

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 12:58 P.M.



Description: Sample S02, "Confluence w/Ditch", was taken at 1:08 P.M. from the runoff pathway from the outlet of the storm water pipe from the Silage Pad to the ditch on the west side of the facility. Sample S03, "Duplicate S02" was also taken here.

Location: South of Hay Barn Camera Direction: Down

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 1:20 P.M.



44: IMGP1133

Description: EPA observed continuous water connection in the ditch on the west side of the facility.

Location: Along the West Ditch

Camera Direction: West

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 1:25 P.M.



Description: Field runoff to the ditch on the west side of the facility.

Location: Along the ditch on the west side of the facility

Camera Direction: Southeast Date/Time: June 2, 2014 1:30 P.M.



58: IMGP1147

Description: The confluence of the ditch on the west side of the facility (red arrow) with

the intermittent unnamed tributary (blue arrow).

Location: South end of ditch on the west side of the facility

Camera Direction: Southwest

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 1:33 P.M.



Description: Looking downstream at the intermittent unnamed tributary from the confluence with the ditch on the west side of the facility. Note that there is a distinct bed and bank in the tributary.

Location: Confluence of the ditch on the west side of the facility with the intermittent

unnamed tributary

Camera Direction: Southeast Date/Time: June 2, 2014 1:34 P.M.



61: IMGP1150

Description: Looking upstream at the intermittent unnamed tributary from the confluence with the ditch on the west side of the facility.

Location: Confluence of the ditch on the west side of the facility with the intermittent

unnamed tributary

Camera Direction: Southwest Date/Time: June 2, 20141:34 P.M.

EPA walked east and north toward the stand of trees. EPA walked on the south side of the stand of trees and came across a mortality pile. The mortalities were not covered properly or buried. They were decomposing and covered with maggots. Bones from the scavenged carcasses were observed laying on the ground near the carcasses.

The facility owner stated that he had given his workers downed cows to cut up and eat and the carcasses were left over from that.

When EPA arrived at the southern end of the feedlots where the runoff from the feedlots was flowing into the storm water channel, EPA took the fourth sample, S04, named "Feedlot Runoff" at 1:50 P.M.



62: IMGP1154

Description: Mortalities left to decompose in the trees south of the feedlots.

Location: Stand of trees south of the feedlots

Camera Direction: Southwest Date/Time: June 2, 2014 1:39 P.M.



Description: Facility owner stated that these were carcasses from downed cows that he let

his workers cut up and eat.

Location: Stand of trees south of the feedlots

Camera Direction: Northwest Date/Time: June 2, 2014 1:40 P.M.



68: IMGP1160

Description: EPA took sample S04, "Feedlot Runoff", at 1:50 P.M. from the flow of runoff from the feedlots into the storm water channel south of the facility.

Location: Southern edge of the feedlots

Camera Direction: Down

Date/Time: June 2, 2014 1:54 P.M.



Description: Flow of runoff from the bare feedlots goes into the storm water channel

south of the facility.

Location: South of the feedlots Camera Direction: Northeast Date/Time: June 2, 2014 1:54 P.M.

EPA walked back to the vehicle and prepared a field blank sample, S05, named "Blank", at 2:05 P.M. EPA preserved the samples and provided the facility owner with a closing conference. EPA left their disposable booties at the facility and exited the facility at approximately 2:30 P.M.

EPA performed a waterway investigation later that evening beginning at approximately 7:00 P.M. Photos from the waterway investigation are in the Photo Log.

The two intermittent unnamed tributaries, one on the east side of the facility and one on the south side, each crossed under Morrison Road east of the facility. Both flowed east/southeast at those locations. EPA noted distinct bed and bank in both tributaries.

The two intermittent tributaries joined together east of Morrison Road and flowed southeast crossing south under Wayside Road at Stone Road. EPA then documented the flow east under Dickenson Road north of Queens Road and then north under Dickenson Road (County Road G) east of Dickenson Road. EPA continued to the north and documented the flow under Wayside Road east of Dickenson Road. The tributary is still considered intermittent at this location, but the tributary was approximately 30 feet wide.

North of this location, the tributary took a turn to the northeast and EPA documented the flow under Bocks Road north of Wayside Road. East of Bocks Road, the tributary confluences with the Branch River which is classified as a perennial stream. EPA

documented the Branch River as it flowed under Wayside Road and then again as it flowed under Man Cal Road. There was flow in all the waterways observed.

### 2.3 Closing Conference and Post-Inspection

Table 12: Post Walk-Through

Table 12. Lose Hame Xurougu				
Were specific "Potential Violations" discussed with	th facility personnel?	Yes		
Were specific "Areas of Concern" discussed with facility personnel?				
Who were the Potential Violations or Areas of Co	ncern discussed with?			
The facility owner.				
Compliance assistance materials given to facility	personnel:			
Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations Final Rule	making - Fact Sheet			
U.S. EPA Small Business Resources Information Sh	eet			
NRCS Most Common Conservation Practices for Co	nfined Livestock Fact S	Sheet		
Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) I	Brochure			
Exit Time:	2:30 P.M.			
Disposable Boots Left at Facility?	Yes			
Vehicle Washed after leaving facility?	Yes			
Date and Time that vehicle was washed:	6/2/14 at approxim	ately		
	9:00 P.M.	•		

### Table 13: Waterway Documentation

## List the pathway taken by EPA inspectors to document the waterway at the facility.

EPA inspectors observed the intermittent unnamed tributary on the east side of the facility east of the manure ponds. EPA also observed the ditch on the west side of the facility and noted that there was water in the ditch all the way to the confluence with an intermittent unnamed tributary south of the facility. EPA walked east along this intermittent unnamed tributary for a short distance.

EPA also observed storm water pathways from the south end of the facility to the intermittent unnamed tributary south of the facility.

Table 14a: Sampling Information

Were samples taken?	Yes
Were samples split with facility?	No
Number of samples taken?	Five
Was a trip blank created?	Yes
Identify which sample is the trip blank.	S05
Were field duplicate samples taken (1 duplicate per 20 samples)?	Ýes
Identify which sample(s) is/are the field duplicate(s)	S03 is duplicate of S02

Were equipment blanks taken (if more than one type of equipment was used to collect samples)?	No
Identify which samples were equipment blanks.	N/A
List chain of custody for fecal coliform and BOD samples:	EPA to Pace
	Analytical
	Laboratory on
	6//2/14
List chain of custody for nutrient and general chemistry	EPA to Region 5
samples:	Central Regional
	Laboratory on
	6/3/14
Location where samples were preserved:	At the facility
Name of people involved with sample preservation:	Joan Rogers
	John Bajor
	Michelle Heger
Time of sample preservation:	2:00 P.M.
Were samples shipped to a lab?	No
Did all inspectors involved with the sampling sign the chain of custody?	Yes
Weather conditions at the time of sample collection:	Cloudy and 65°F
Camera name and type used to photograph sample collection:	Pentax Optio
	WG-1 GPS #2

Table 14b: Facility Sample Information

Number	Name	Location	Date	Time	Collector	Photo #	Photographer	Method of Collection	Amount of Sulfuric Acid
S01	Silage	At the flow of process wastewater flowing into the storm water pipe west of the Silage Pad.	6/2/14	1255	Michelle Heger	34, 35	Joan Rogers	Grab	2 ml
S02	Confluence w/Ditch	At the confluence of the flow from the outlet of the storm water pipe where it went into the ditch on the west side of the facility.	6/2/14	1308	Michelle Heger	36, 37	Joan Rogers	Grab	1.1 ml
S03	Duplicate S02	At the confluence of the flow from the outlet of the storm water pipe where it went into the ditch on the west side of the facility.	6/2/14	1308	Michelle Heger	36, 37	Joan Rogers	Grab	1.0 ml
S04	Feedlot Runoff	From a runoff pathway from the feedlot south of the facility into the intermittent unnamed tributary south of the facility.	6/2/14	1350	Jack Bajor	68, 69	Joan Rogers	Grab	2.0 ml
S05	Blank	Near the office.	6/2/14	1405	Michelle Heger	none	Joan Rogers	Grab	1.0 ml

Table 15: Sample Results

Sample ID	Sample Description (all liquid samples unless otherwise noted)	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (mg/L)	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (mg/L)	Nitrate- Nitrite N (mg/L)	Ammonia as N (mg/L)	Total Phosphorus (mg/L)	Total Dissolved Solids (mg/L)	Total Suspended Solids (mg/L)	Fecal Coliform (CFU/100ml)
	Typical limits			0.1 *	15	.05**	1000		200***
S01	Silage	6800	273	0.32	53.5	85.3	3460	58	TNTC ****
S02	Confluence w/Ditch	457	44.7	8.82	10.8	15.0	1170	139	14,200,000
S03	Duplicate S02	427	5.0.0	8.88	11.4	22.0	1110	140	N/A
S04	Feedlot Runoff	78.8	88.2	U	8.72	27.1	2570	510	22,000,000
S05	Blank	2.5	U	Ü	Ü	Ú	U	U	N/A

U = Not Detected

In Wisconsin, there are no Water Quality Standards for Biochemical Oxygen Demand, Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen, Nitrate-Nitrite, Ammonia as Nitrogen, Total Dissolved Solids and Total Suspended Solids but a limit for Nitrate-Nitrite is provided and is meant to be a benchmark for comparison only.

- \* Maximum Nitrate-Nitrite amount for aquatic life (North Carolina State University Water Quality Group)
- \*\*Maximum Total Phosphorus limit for all other unidirectional streams/rivers not listed in Chapter NR 102.6 (3) (a) of Wisconsin Administrative Code.
- \*\*\*Although there are no effluent limits for CAFOs, the limit in Wisconsin for Fecal Coliform in a stream for general use is 200 colonies/100ml. (Chapter NR 102, Water Quality Standards for Wisconsin Surface Waters, November 2010 of the Wisconsin Administrative Code.)
- \*\*\*\* TNTC means Too Numerous To Count. Sample concentration is > 100,000 CFU/100ml
  - The Fecal Coliform results were analyzed by Pace Analytical Services, Inc., 1241 Bellevue Street, Suite 9, Green Bay, WI 54302.
  - Ammonia Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus, Nitrate-Nitrite, Dissolved Solids (TDS), Total Suspended Solids (TSS), Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN), and Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) were analyzed by the Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory.

#### 3. POTENTIAL VIOLATIONS

According to Section 301(a) of the Clean Water Act, it is a violation to discharge pollutants from a CAFO to waters of the United States without a permit. EPA observed potential discharges in the following locations:

- 1. Manure and process wastewater from the Cattle Walkway to the large amount of standing storm water between the Milking Parlor and the Heifer Barn. The water in the large amount of standing storm water is pumped across the concrete of the entrance to the Heifer Barn and flows to a storm water channel which flows to an intermittent unnamed tributary on the east side of the facility.
- 2. Track in and track out from the north side of Barn #2 to the large amount of standing storm water between the Milking Parlor and the Heifer Barn. The water in the large amount of standing storm water is pumped across the concrete of the entrance to the Heifer Barn and flows to a storm water channel which flows to an intermittent unnamed tributary on the east side of the facility.
- Track in and track out from the south doors of the freestall barns could flow
  with precipitation as process wastewater to the storm water channel to the
  south and then to the intermittent unnamed tributary on the south side of the
  facility.
- 4. Manure and process wastewater from the denuded feedlots flows to the storm water channel on the south side of the facility and during precipitation events would flow to the intermittent unnamed tributary on the south side of the facility.
- 5. Process wastewater from silage leachate flows to the storm water pipe on the west side of the Silage Pad and discharges to the hillside south of the Hay Barn. The process wastewater then flows into the ditch on the west side of the facility which in turn flows into the intermittent unnamed tributary on the south side of the facility.


#### 4. AREAS OF CONCERN


EPA observed these areas of concern whereby pollutants have the potential to reach waters of the United States or best management practices are not being followed:

- 1. The vegetation on the berms of the manure ponds is too tall to be able to observe the condition of the berms and watch for rodent holes that can compromise the integrity of the berms.
- 2. There are a few woody growth plants growing in the berms of the manure ponds which need to be removed so as to prevent conduits in the berm walls from their roots.
- 3. Carcasses from downed animals are discarded improperly. Process wastewater from the decomposing carcasses could flow with precipitation to the intermittent unnamed tributary south of the facility.
- 4. There were no permanent capacity depth markers in the manure ponds.

### 5. LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

- A) Aerial map of Dairy with buildings, waterways and discharge pathways labeled.
- B) Aerial map zoomed out to show Dairy in relation to the surface waters.
- C) Sample analysis reports.







#### UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

# REGION 5 CHICAGO REGIONAL LABORATORY 536 SOUTH CLARK STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60605



Date:

8/13/2014

Subject:

Review of Region 5 Data for

To:

Water Division, US EPA Region 5

77 West Jackson Boulevard

Chicago, IL 50504

From:

Nidia Fuentes, Analyst

US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

The data transmitted under this cover memo successfully passed CRL/s data review procedures as documented in the current Quality Management Plan and applicable Standard Operating Procedures. In accordance with EPA's Guidence on Environmental Data Verification and Data Vehidation (Document EPA QA/G-8), CRL verified and validated the data but does not perform data quality assessment based on project plans.

This report was reviewed and the information provided herein accurately represents the analysis performed.

x Midia Funter

Please contact the analyst with any technical report issues. Amanda Wroble at (312)-353-0375 for sample project concerns, and Sylvia Griffin at (312)-353-9073 with data transmittal questions. Thank you.

Attached are Results for: FOIA Fra

Data Management Coordinator and Date Transmitted

Analyses included in this report:

TKN DA

Total Phosphorus DA

Page 1 of 7

Report Name: 1405002 FINAL Aug 13 14:0913



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone: (312) 353-8370 Fax: (312) 886-2891

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Berdevard Chicago II., 60604

Project Number: 141703 Project Manager: Joan Rogers

Reported: Ang-13-14-09:13

### Analysis Case Narrative

#### General Information

A total of five water samples to be analyzed for Total Phosphorus (TP) were received at the Chicago Regional Laboratory on June 03, 2014. The samples for TP were digested and analyzed using CRL SOP AIG034A, Revision # 3.7 (EPA method 365.4) and Peniklok Reference No. AIG034 R 3.7-Pi01.

All holding times were met. The designated analyst for the sample is Nidia Feentes. Nidia can be reached at 312-353-9079.

#### Sample Analysis and Results

The data reported herein meets the data quality and reporting requirements for CAFO samples 062014.

#### Quality Control

All quality control and were within the CRL's limits.

#### Analysis Case Narrative

#### General Information

A total of five water samples to be analyzed for Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN) were received at the Chicago Regional Laboratory on June 03, 2014. The samples for TKN were digested and analyzed using CRL SOP AIG035A, Revision #3.0 (EPA method 351.2).

All holding times were met. The designated analyst for these samples is Nidia Puentes. Nidia can be reached at 312-353-9079.

#### Sample Analysis and Results

The data reported herein meets the data quality and reporting requirements for CAFO samples 062014.



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60505 Phone: (312)353-8370 Fax: (312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604

Project Manager: Joan Rogers

Reported: Aug-13-14-09:13

Quality Control

All quality control audits were within the CRL limits.



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 50604

Project: FOIA Fame Project Number: 14JR63 Project Manager: John Rogers'

Reported: Ang-13-14 09:13

#### AND VITAL DEPOSIT FOR CARRIES FO

Sample	E ID				Laboratory (D	Matrix	<del></del>	Date Sampled	Date Received
Š01	144		1.05		1405002-01	- Waler		Jun-02-14 12:55	Jun-03-14-11:48
S02			1	à	t406062-92	Water	7	Jun-02-14 13:08	Jun-03-14 11:48
S03					1405002-03	Water		Jun-02-14 13:08:	Jul-03-14 11:48
S04.					1405002-04	Wester		Jan-02-14 13:50	Inc. 03-14 11:48
<b>\$05</b>		4			1406002-05	Water		Jun-02-14 14:05	Jun 03-14 F1:48



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone: (312)653-8370 Fax: (312)886-2591

West: Division, US EPARegion 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard. Chicago H., 60604 Project Fann
Project Number: 143R93
Project Manager: Joan Rogers

Reported: Aug-13-14-09:13

### Phosphorus, Colorimetric, EPA 365.4 (modified) US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S01 (1406062-61) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 12:55 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

						<del></del>			
Analyts	Result	Flags / Qualifiers	MDI.	Reputing Limit	Dais	Diletica	Baich	Piepared.	Abalyzed
Total Phosphorus	85.3		2,40	4,50	mg/L	30	5405061	Jun-18-14	Jun-19-14

S02 (1406002-02) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 13:68 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

Applyte	Result	Dishipes /	TOM:	Reporting Limit	Optis	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorus	15.0		2.13	4.06	បាន្ត/⊏ៈ	26.67	B406061	Jun-18-14	Jun-19-14

\$03 (1406002-03) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 13:08, Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

	-	· · · · ·		Flags /	Penorane					
Anályře		44	Result	Qualifiers MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch.	Prepared	Analyzed -
Total Phosphorus			22.0	0.80	1,30	mş/L	10	B-105061	Jun-18-14	Jun-19-14

S04 (1406002-04) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 15:50 Received: Jun-03-14 II:48

The state of the s	 	Fises/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The same of the sa			<del></del>	<del></del>	
Ansiyie	Result	Qualifiers	MEAL	Reporting Limit	Units.	Dimion	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Phosphorps	 27.1	ıl	4.60	7,50	ing-L	50	B406061	Jun-18-14	Jun-19-14

S05 (1406002-05) Water. Sampled: Inn-62-14 14:05 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

1		· *· .		Fites,		Renothna						
	Anelyto	tomora samora sada ti simitata kalendisi.	Reput	Qealificis	MIL	Limit	Units	Durtion	Batch	Piepned	_ Analyzzai .	i.
	Total Phosphorus		IJ		0.08	0.15	mg/L	. 1	B406061	Ton-18-14	Jun-19-14	



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL, 50605 Phone: (312)353-8370 Fax: (312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 3 77 West Jackson Boillevard Chicago IL, 50604 Project Mumber: 14/R03
Project Manager: Jean Rogers

Reported: Aug-13-14-09:13

Total Ejeld-Milrogon, EPA351.2 (molafied)
US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S07 (1406002-01) Water Sampled: Jon-02-14-12-55 Received: Jon-03-14-11:48

12		Flags/		Tanadine.		ngar Tillian			
Anslyte	Result	Qualtities	MIX	Reporting Limit	Unes	District	Batch	Prepared	Austrad
Total Kieldahl Edrogon	275	in the state of th	9.00	15.0	Billig"	30	B406062	Jun-18-14	Jun-25-14

\$52 (1406062-02) Water Samplek Jun-02-14 13:08 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

	 	Flags /		Reporting	4 7				
Analyte	Result	Qualificus	MEL	Lame	Units	Dilution	Satch	Prepared	Audyzed
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	44.7		05.0	1,34	mg/L	2.67	B406962	Jun-18-14.	Jun-19-14

S03 (1406002-03) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 13:08 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

		+-						
	Fla	23 /	Reporting			10 00 00	-	
Analyta	Result Qual	inces kalel	Limit	Cinits.	Dilpfice	Batch	Prepared	Austyzed
Total Kieldabl Nitrogen	50.0	3,00	5.00	mg/L	10	B406063	Jun-18-14	Jun-19-14

S04 (1406002-04) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 13:50 Received: Jon-63-14 11:48

											-
-			"History".		Samuel Sam						1
1	10. 4. 40	A	And the second	in the same of	rccounting.	Market Land	make there .	73-22	Districted	فأحضانا فاستراقها	ţ
	Analyte	Result	Qualiners	MEL	Latnit	Cares	131143700	Batch	rrcparca	Analyana	1
		1961 ex	<del></del>	5775.60	A. 50			This election	7- 10 X 4	the state of the latter	-
	Tafal Kieldahl Nitroceo	88.2		Loc	2.50	mg/L	-24	DARBASS	100-14	Ann-15-1-	

S95 (1496002-05) Water Sampled: Jun 02-14 14:05. Received: Jun 03-14 11:48

T			Flags /		Reporting.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	227 0		
Analyte	Carry - was summer = 18	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Lient	Units	District	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed
Total Kjeldal	l Nitregën	U		0.30	0.50	mg/L	1	B406052	Jun-18-14	Inc. 19-14



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 50605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591

Water Division, US EPA Region 5. 77 West Jackson Boidevard Chicago II., 60604

Project FOIA Farm. Emject Nurober: 141805 Project Manager: Juan Rogers

Reported: Aug-13-14 69:13

Notes and Definitions

NR

Not Reported



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 5 CHICAGO REGIONAL LABORATORY

## 536 SOUTH CLARK STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 6005



Subject:	Review of Region 5 Data for Farm			
From:	Anna Knochel, Chemist Alexandra Region & Chicago Regional Laboratory		÷	
, <u>4</u> , -			1.2	
<b>10:</b>	Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 50604			
the labor Results in	perform data validation which is based on your data quality of the data.  This report represent only the samples analyzed.  The U.S. EPA Project Manager Officer call the CRL Samples.		4	
the labor Results in	nory generaling the date.  This report represent only the samples analyzed.  We the U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Sam		4	
the labor Results in Please ha	nory generaling the date.  This report represent only the samples analyzed.  We the U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Sam		4	
the labora Results in Please ha questions	nory generaling the date.  This report represent only the samples analyzed.  We the U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Sam		4	
the labora Results in Please ha questions	vory generaling the date.  I this report represent only the samples analyzed.  We the U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Samples.		353-0375 for	
the labora Results in Please ha questions Aftached	vory generaling the date.  I this report represent only the samples analyzed.  We the U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Samples.	ior al (312) :	353-0375 for	
the labora Results in Please ha questions Aftached	nory generaling the data.  This report represent only the samples analyzed.  The U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Samples are Results for:	ior al (312) :	353-0375 for	
the labora Results in Please ha questions Aftached	nory generaling the flate.  I this report represent only the samples analyzed.  We the U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Samples are Results for:  Exp. Farm  I are Results for:  Exp. Farm	ior al (312) :	353-0375 for	
the labora Results in Please ha questions Aftached	nory generaling the data.  This report represent only the samples analyzed.  The U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Samples are Results for:	ior al (312) :	353-0375 for	

Page 1 of 7

Report Name: 1406002 FINAL Jun 17 14 1022



Chicago IL, 60604

## Environmental Protection Agency Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Fax(312)886-2591 Phone:(312)353-8370



Water Division, US RPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard

Project Number: 14JR63

Project FOIA Parm

Project Manager: Joan Rogaes

Reported: Jun-17-14 10:22

#### ANALYSIS CASE NARRATIVE—Distilled Ammonia Nifrogen in Water

Work Order: 1406002 Analyst: Anna Knoebel Phone #: (312) 353-9467

#### General Information

Five water samples for Ammonia Nitrogen were received on June 3, 2014. All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis and Results

The samples were distilled and analyzed on June 9, 2014 for Ammonia Nitrogen in water using CRL SOP AIG029B, Revision # 0 (Reference Method, Standard Method 4500 - NH3- B & G). The samples were stored in the refrigerator at all fimes, except when in use.

#### Quality Control

Matrix Spike (MS)

The matrix spike recovery (121 %) for sample 1406002-01 (S01) was slightly above the acceptance limit (80-120 %). The blank spike (BS) recovery (99 %) and other QC and its were within the CRL limits. The sample and spike were diluted 20 fold. As a result the spike concentration was diluted out. No flags were used on this basis.

All other quality control and its were within CRL limits or did not result in qualification of the data.

### ANALYSIS CASE NARRATIVE - Nitrate-Nitrite Nitrogen in Water

Work Order: 1406002 Analyst: Anna Knoebel Phone #: (312) 353-9467

#### General Information

Five water samples for Mitrate-Nitrite Nitrogen were received on June 3, 2014. All holding times were met.

Sample Analysis and Results

AL6-17-14

Anna Knoebel, Chemis Report Name: 1406002 FINAL Jun 17 14 1022



5% South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone (312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591



Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago II., 60604

Project Pain Project Number: 14/R03 Project Manager: Joan Rogers

Reported: ha-17-14 10:22

The samples were analyzed for Nitrate-Nitrite Nitrogen in water on June 6, 2014 and June 12, 2014 using CRL SOP AIG031A, Revision #1.1 (Standard Method 4500 - NO3-E). The samples were stored in the refrigerator at all times except when in use. All samples except 1406002-05 (\$05) were centrifuged prior to analysis to remove particulates.

Quality Control

All quality control audits were within CRL limits or did not result in qualification of the data

AL 6-17-19

Report Name: 1406002 FINAL Jun 17 14 1022



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, 1L-60605 Phone: (312)353-8370 Pax: (312)886-2591



Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 50604 Project: Fain
Project Number: 142R93
Project Manager: Joan Rogers.

Reported: Jun-17-14-10:22

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID		•			Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
\$01		*.			1406002-01	Water	Jun-02-14-12-55	Jun-03-14 11:48
202	**		÷		1406002-02	Water	Jun-02-14-13:08	.fun-03-14 11:48
\$03				~	1406002-03	Wases	Jun 62-14 15:08	Jun-03-14-11:48
504	*,				1406002-04	Water	Jun-02-14 13:50	Jun-03-14 17:48
\$05;					1466602-05	Water	Jun-02-14 14:05	Jun-03-14 11:48

AL 6-17-14

Anna Knoebel, Chamist

Fage 4 of 7 Report Name: 1406002 FINAL Jun 17 14 1022



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phones (342)353-8370 Fax: (212)886-2591



Water Division, US EPA Region 3 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chirage II., 60604 Project Funber: 140R03 Project Manager: Ioan Rogers

Reparted: Jun-17-14-10:22

### Nitrate - Nitrite Nitrogen, SM 4500E (medified) US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S01 (1406002-01) Water Sempled: Jun-02-14 12:25 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

		Figure 1		<del></del>	·			·
Analym	Result	Qualifiers MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Back	internal Amelyz	चे ।
Nitrate Nitrile N	9.3Z	0.22	0.25	mg/L	1	B406047		[4]

362 (1405002-02) Water . Sampled: Jan-02-14 13:08 Received; Jun-03-14 11:48

1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Plags/		Take Take	<del></del>			
	Analytic	Result	Qualificra	MOL	Limit	Circuits	Dillution Baick	Prepared Analy	yazed
. ;	Nitrate Nitrite N	8.82		9.18	0.50	me/L-	2 B4050	17 Jun-05-14 Jun-0	614

S03 (1406002-03) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 13:08 Received: Jun-03-14 11-48

		Fings /	<del></del>	·····	<del></del>				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7
Ansi\te	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Diletion	Baich	Prepared	Analyzed	
Nitrate-Nitrite N	3.88		0.36	3,00	mg/L	4	B406047	Jun-05-14	Jun-06-14	

S94 (1406002-04) Water Sampled: Jun-02-1413:50 Received: Jun-03-1411:43

	 	Flegs/		- 3						
Analyto:	 Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilation	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	
Nitrate-Nitrité N	U	U	0.09	0.25	me/L	Ī	8406047	Ton-05-14	Jun-12-14	

S05 (1406002-05) Water Sampled: Jun-02-15 14:05 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	- <u> </u>		÷			
		Plage /	-		٠.,			,	
Atialyte	Result	Qualifiers	MOL	Limit	Units	Dibizion	Hatch	Prtpared Analyzed	1
Nifrate Nitrite N	Ū	U	0.09	8,25	mg/L	1	B406047	Jun-05-14 Jun-06-14	

AU61714

Page 5 of 7

Report Name: 1406002 FINAL Jpn 17 14 1072



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 69605 Phone (312)353-8370 Fax (312)886-2591



Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago II., 60604 Project Parm Project Namher: 147R03 Project Manager: Joan Rogers

Reported: Jun-17-14 10:22

Aminoria Militigas, SIM1500P & C (modified)

US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

S01 (1406002-01) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14-12:35 Received: Jun-03-14-11:48

1	·		Flags	<del></del>		<del></del>		<del></del>	,	
Anaiyas	r	Result	Coelifiers	MIN	Lant	Units	Difution	Baich	Prepared Analyzed	f:
Ammonia as N		53.5	10 to	1.30	4.00	mg/L	20	B406051	Jun-09-14 Jun-09-14	<del></del>

502 (1406001-02) Water Sampled: Jun-02-1413:08 Received: Jun-03-1411:48

1		Flags/	<del></del>		<del></del>	<del></del>			
Applyto	Result	Qualifiers	MIDL	Limit	Umb	Dibrico, 3	Belia	Prepared Analyzed	
Ammonia as N	10.8	11-	0.60	2,00	mg/L	10 B	406021	Jun-09-14 Jun-09-14	

S03 (1406002-03) Water Sampled: Jun-02-1413:08 Received: Jun-03-1411:48

	 	Flags 1			<del></del>	<del> </del>			1
Analyte	 Resist.	Qualificis	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilimon	Beich.	Prepared Analyzed	1
Ammonia as N	 11.4	44	0:60	2.00	DET.	110	B406051	Jun-09-14 Jun-09-14	

S04 (1406002-04) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 13:50 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

	 	Legal 1							. Ŧ
Amalyte	 Result	Qualifices	MDL	Limit	Unite	Dilution	Batch	Prepared Analyzed	
Ammonia as N	 8.72	13	0.60	2.00	mg/L	1G	B405051	Jun-09-14 Jun-09-14	

S05 (1405002-05) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 14:05 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

		Flags/	<del></del>			·		
Analyte	Kesult	Qualmen	MDL	Limit	Units	Diluison	Batca	Erepared Assigned
Ammonia as N	U	*1	0.06	0.20	well.	1	B406051	Jun-09-14 Jun-09-14

AL6-17-14

Page 6 of 7

Report Name: 1406002 FINAL Jim 17 14 1022



136 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone (312)353-8370 Fax (312)866-2591



Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60604

Project Fold Fami
Project Number: 143R03
Project Manager: Joan Rogers

Reported: Jun-17-14 10:22

#### Notes and Definitions

CRL is not accredited for the merked test welked and results.

U Not Detected

NR Not Reported

AC6-17-14

Page 7 of 7 Report Name: 1496002 FINAL Jun 17 14 1022

## Items for Project Manager Review

LabNumber,	Analysis	Analyte	Exception
	′		Defzuit Řeport (naj modříjeď)
¥	•	* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	VERSION 6.12-2008
•	Ammonia N DA, Distilled	(Water)	I-Flags psed
	Ammonia N DA, Distilled	(Water)	Result calculations based on MDL.
	Notate Nucle N DA	(Water)	J-F72हुङ <u>भद्र</u> त्वे
• *	Winate Nitrite N DA	(Walta)	Result calculations based on MDI
	Nitrate-Nitrite N.D.A.	(Water)	U-Flags used
1406002-01	Ammonia N DA, Distilled	Aumsenia as N	++ CRL is not accredited for the marked test method and
1406002-62	Ammonia NOA, Distilled	Asomonia as N	++: CRL is not accredited for the marked lest method and results.
1405002-03	Ammoniz WDA, Distilled	Antonoma as N	++: CRL is not according for the marked test method and results.
1405002-04	Ammonia NDA, Distilled	Ammonia es lá	14: CRL is not accordized for the marked test method and results:
1406002-05	Ammonia N DA, Distilled	Ammonia es N	+++ CRL is not according for the maneral test method and results.
B406051-MS1	Appuonis NDA, Distillei	Aromonia as N	Exceeds upper control limit.

## Sample, Lög and Extraction Comments

	أستطون المعطيات والأنهاج والتنهاج والمتات		
1406002-01			
	and control of		
Ammonia N DA, D	nsuloa		
	·		pH=1
			$\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{i}$
Nitrate-Nitrite N I	Section :		har E
AMAZIE-TAMMETA T	JA:		
			pH=1
			pH=1
1406002-02	i .	-	Law
	The Periods & Sur		-
Ammenia NDA, I	Fistilled.		
			pH=1
			pH=1
The state of the s	****		hra: r
Nitrate-Nitrite N I	2A		
			pH = 1
	·		pH-1
1406002-03			Fred A
Ammonia N DA, D	)istilled		
			pH = 1
			pH=1
er Granger V. Parlando (1911) es Miland	4015		1337 - 1
Nitrate-Nitrite NI	)A		
			pH=1
			pi 1 = 1
1406002-04			Part s
	del contra		
Ammonia NDA, D	distilled	بقست دراء المراء مسؤف	ejiri dan jan fija
·	·		pH=1
	•		pH=T
i manada kata madawa saki manana	s. i		fur.
Nitrate-Nitrite N I	9A		
		,	pH = I
			pH=1
1406002-05			Friend T
Ammenia N DA, D	Distilled	-	
			pti=1
			H-1
Marie Color Hall Constitution to the con-	Pri/de		2010: I
Nitrate-Nitrite N I	LE SA		
	<b>y</b> -		pH=1
,			pH = 1
			£



Analyses included in this report;

Solids, TDS.

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### REGION 5 CHICAGO REGIONAL LABORATORY

### 536 SOUTH CLARK STREET CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60605



ibject:	Review of Region 5 Data for Fram			4.	- 'a - 1
कता:	Colin Breslin, Chemist Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory  CB 6/24/14	•			
	The second of th	's	·		
55°; 	Water Bivision, US EPA Region 5. 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago, IL 60604		٠,	. "	•
					-
does not p the laborat	being transmitted under this cover memo successfully passed CRL's internal data in Quality Management Plan (QMP) and appropriate Standard Operating Procedul contains data validation which is based on your data quality objectives. This function generating the data.  This report represent only the samples analyzed.	es (SOP:	s). Piease	be aw	are that C
does not p the laborat Results in	it Quality Management Plan (QMP) and appropriate Standard Operating Procedur serfarm data validation which is based on your data quality objectives. This function from generating the data: This report represent only the samples analyzed.  The U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRI-Sample Coordinator at (3)	res (SOP: on must l	s). Piease be perform	be aw	arè filat Ci pendently
description the laborate Results in Please bar questions.	at Quality Management Plan (QMP) and appropriate Standard Operating Procedur perform data validation which is based on your data quality objectives. This function there generating the data:  This report represent only the samples analyzed.  The U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Sample Coordinator at (3)	res (SOP: on must l	s). Piease be perform	be aw	arè that CI pendently
description the laborate Results in Please bar questions.	it Quality Management Plan (QMP) and appropriate Standard Operating Procedur serfarm data validation which is based on your data quality objectives. This function from generating the data: This report represent only the samples analyzed.  The U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRI-Sample Coordinator at (3)	res (SOP: on must l	s). Piease be perform	be aw	arè that CI pendently
description the laborate Results in Please bar questions.	at Quality Management Plan (QMP) and appropriate Standard Operating Procedur perform data validation which is based on your data quality objectives. This function there generating the data:  This report represent only the samples analyzed.  The U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Sample Coordinator at (3)	res (SOP: on must l	s). Piease be perform	be aw	arè that CI pendently
document document the laboral Results in Please bar questions.	at Quality Management Plan (QMP) and appropriate Standard Operating Procedur perform data validation which is based on your data quality objectives. This function there generating the data:  This report represent only the samples analyzed.  The U.S. EPA Project Manager/Officer call the CRL Sample Coordinator at (3)	res (SOP: on must l	s). Piease be perform	be aw	arè that CI pendently

Solids, TSS

Page 1 of 6 Report Name: 1406002 PINAL Jun 24 14 1026



## Chicago Regional Laboratory

535 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone: (312)355-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591



Water Division, US EPA Region 5 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago II., 60604 Project Fash Project Munher: 141R03 Project Manager: Joan Rogers

Reported: Jun-24-14 10:26

#### Analysis Case Narrative

#### General Information

Five water samples for the analysis of total dissolved solids (TDS) and total suspended solids (TSS) were received at the Chicago Regional Laboratory (CRL) on June 3, 2014. All samples were analyzed within the seven day hold time. The designated analyst, Colin Breslin, can be reached at 312-886-2912.

#### Sample Analysis and Results

Samples for TDS were prepared and analyzed according to CRL SQP AIG017, Revision No. 5.0 (SM 2540C). Sample 1406002-01 (Sample Name: S01) was flagged "K—The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value may be biased high. The actual value is expected to be less than the reported value". See below under Quality Control for an explanation.

Samples for TSS were prepared and analyzed according to CRL SQP AIG018, Revision No. 4.0 (SM 2540D). Sample 1406002-01 (Sample Name: S01) was flagged "J – The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate". See below under Quality Control for an explanation.

#### Quality Control

All Quality Control (QC) audits were within CRL limits for the requested analytes or did not result in qualification of the data, except as follows:

#### TDS - Constant Drying Weight

Sample 1406002-01 (Sample Name: S01) did not reach a constant dry weight after three drying cycles, it continued to decrease. A constant dry weight for TDS is defined as consecutive dry weights that dry not differ by more than 0.5 mg. This trend was observed in the duplicate result for sample 1406002-01 (Sample Name: S01) as well. The suspected cause was a complex sample matrix that formed a residue that was not readily amenable to the prescribed drying cycles. Therefore, the result was flagged "K — potential high bias".

#### TSS - OC Duplicate


Sample 1406002-01 (Sample Name: S01) and its batch QC duplicate had a relative percent difference (RPD) of 32%, which exceeded the control limit of 20%. The suspected cause was a complex sample matrix that remained non-homogenous even after vigorous shaking. Therefore, the result was flagged "I – estimated".

CB 6/24/14 Colin Breson, Chemist



## Chicago Regional Laboratory

536 South Clark Speet, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone (312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591



Water Division, USEPA Region of 77 West Jackson Boolevard. Chicago II., 60604

Project: Preject Number: 141R03 Project Manager: Joan Rogers

Reported: Jun-24-14 10:26

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

06002-01 06002-02	Water	Jun-02-14 12:55	Date Received  Jun-03-14 [1:48]  Jun-03-14 [1:48]
06002-02	Water	- Jun-02-14 13-08	Jiip-03-14 11:48
05002-03	Water	Jon-02-14 19:08	bin-03-14 11:48
06002-04	Water	Jun-92-14 13:50	Jan-03-14 11:48
06002-05	Water	Jun-02-14 14:05	Jun-03-14 11:48



## Chicago Regional Laboratory

536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone:(312)353-8370 Fax:(312)886-2591 ...



Water Division, US EPA Region 5. 77 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago II., 60604

Project: Farm Project Number: 14TR03 Project Manager: Joan Rogers

Reported: Jun-24-14 10:26

### Dissolval Smids, SN 2540C (modified)

## US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

Analyse	RestA	Flags / Qualifitys	MINL	- Limit	Units	Division	Вайси	Prepared	Analyzed	
Total Dissolved Solids	3460.	18.		20.0	រាទិក	1	£405043		Jun-05-14	
502:(1406002-02) Water Sar	apied: Jun-02-14 13:	08 Received	: Jun 03	1413:48			*		÷ ÷	
Analyid	Result	Flags/ Qualifiers	MDL.	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	
lotal Dissolved Solids	1170	_		20,0	mg/L	1.	B406048	Jun-01-14	Jun-05-14	
503 (1406002-03) Wafer San	upled: Jua-(2-14 15:	<u></u>	Jun-03-	14 (1:48		<u> </u>			<del> </del>	<del>*</del>
72	-06% ea.	Flags/	رضاعت أي	4.20.20	A 15	š		. %	V	
	Result	Qualifiers	MIL	Ĺ <del>ĺoj</del> t	Únits	Divies		<del></del>	Analyzed	<del></del>
	Result 1110		MOL	L <del>im</del> i 20.4	Units mg/L	Olivica 1	Buch 9406045	<del></del>	Analyzed Jun-05-14	<del>: .</del>
tival Dissolved Solids		Qualifiers  50 Received	142.	20.4		Ollegica 1		<del></del>	<del></del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
iotal Dissofred Solids 504 (1405062-04) Water Szi	1110	Qualifiers  50 Received  Flags /	142.	20.9 14 11-48		Dilution		Jun 04-14	Jun-05-14	·
Analyta Foral Dissolved Sulids 504 (1406002-04) Water San Analyta Foral Dissolved Sulids	1110 uplad: <b>Jun-02-14-15</b> :	Qualifiers  50 Received	ı Jün-03-	20.4	TO THE REAL PROPERTY.	1	Balono43 Batch	Jim 04-14 Prepared	<del></del>	
lotal Dissolved Solids 504 (1406062-04) Water Szr Ánalyts Total Dissolved Solids	1110 noled: Inn 02-14-15: Resuit 2570	Qualifiers  50 Received  This i	. Jan-03-	20.4 14 11:48 Limit 20.0	me/C	1	Balono43 Batch	Jim 04-14 Prepared	Jun-03-14 Analyzed	
foral Dissofved Softds 504 (1406002-04) Water Szi Ánályis	1110 noled: Inn 02-14-15: Resuit 2570	Qualifiers  50 Received  This i	. Jan-03-	20.4 14 11:48 Limit 20.0	me/C	1	Batch Batch B405045	Jim 04-14 Prepared	Jun-05-14 Analyzed Jun-05-14	



## Chicago Regional Laboratory

556 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone; (312)353-8370 Fax: (312)886-2591



Water Division, US EPA Region 5 7.7 West lackson Boulevard Chicago II., 60604 Project: FOM Parm Project Number: 149R03 Project Manager: Loan Regers

Reported: Jun-24-14 19:26

### Total Suspended Solids, SM 2540 D (modified) US EPA Region 5 Chicago Regional Laboratory

Asialyte	Result	Tings/ Qualifiers	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Earch	Prepared Analyzed	
Total Suspended Solids	58	ŧ	<u></u>	5	ng/L	J.	E406046	Jon-94-14 him-94-14	ļ·
S62 (1406062-82) Water	Sampled: Jon-02-14 1	3:08 Heceived	1: Jun-03	14 11:48					

			Flegs /							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
-	Analyte	Result	Qualificis	MDL	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Azalyzed	Į
7	lotal Suspended Solids	139			5	mg/£	1.	B406946	јзт-04-14	Jun 04-14	

#### S03 (1406002-03) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 13:08 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

		Flags /		·					
Aastric	Resna	Qualificas	MDL.	F.hati	Units	Dilution	Batch	Prepared Analyzed	
Total Suspended Solids	140			. 5	mg/L	• 1	3406046	Imp 04-14 Jun-64-14	

#### 504 (1406002-04) Water Sampled: Jun-02-14 13:50 Received: Jun-03-14 11:48

		Flags/						,	-	
Ahalyie	Résult	Quaimers	MDL	Lind	Units	Disting	Batch	Piepared	Analyzuá	
Total Saspended Solids	510			2	mg/L	1	B406046	Jun-04-34	Jun-04-74	

#### \$65 (1406002-05) Water Sampled, Jun-62-14 14:05 Received: Jun-63-14-11:48

		Flags/	<del></del>	<del></del>						
Analyte	Result	Qualifiers	MDL	Limi	Units	Dilution	Batch	Propered	Analyzed	1
Total Suspended Solids	Ú			5	π <sub>B</sub> L-	<b>J</b> 5	B406046	Jun-04-14	Jun-04-14	

CB G/24/14



536 South Clark Street, Chicago, IL 60605 Phone: (312)353-8370 Fax: (312)886-2591



Water Division, US BEA Region 5 97 West Jackson Boulevard Chicago IL, 60504

Project Parti Project Number 14JR03 Project Menager Joan Rogers

Reported: Jun-24-14-10:26

#### Notes and Definitions

K The identification of the analyza is acceptable, the reported value may be blased high. The actual value is expected to be loss than the reported value.

The identification of the analyte is acceptable; the reported value is an estimate.

U Not Detrotted

NR Not Reported

CB 6/24/14

### Sample, Log and Extraction Comments

1496002-01 Solids, TDS

Solids, TSŞ ···

1406002-02 Solids, TDS -

Solids, TSS

1406002-03 Solids, TDS

Solids, TSS

1406002-04 Solids, TDS

Solids, TSS

1406002-05 Solids, TDS

Solids, TSS

nupreserved pH = 1

impreserved pH = 1

unpreserved pir = i

unpreserved pH = 1

supreserved pH = 1

unpreserved. pH = I

unpreserved pH=1


unpreserved pH = 1

unpreserved pH = 1

unipreserved pH = 1

#### Henry for Project Manager Review

Labernmber	Anelysis	Anglyte	Exception
713 7 m	*		Default Report (not modified)
		•	VERSION 6.12.2008
1406002-61	Solids, TDS	Total Dissolved Solids	K. The identification of the enalyte is acceptable; the reported value may be blased high. The actual Value is expected to be less than the reported value.
B406045-DUP1	Soute TDS	Real Dissolved Solids	K. The identification of the straight is acceptable; the reported value may be blastd light. The actual value is
B406046-DUP1	Solfie TSS	Total Suspended Societa	expected to be less than the reponent value.  Exceeds RPD rentrol limit.





June 10, 2014

Kimberly O'Neill SAIC McLean/Enterprise Center 8301 Greensboro Drive Mc Lean, VA 22102

RE: Project: 14JR08 FARM Pace Project No.: 4097292

#### Dear Kimberly O'Neill:

Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on June 02, 2014. The results relate only to the samples included in this report. Results reported herein conform to the most current TNI standards and the laboratory's Quality Assurance Manual, where applicable, unless otherwise noted in the body of the report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Steven Mieczko

steve mleczko@pacelabs.com

Project Manager

Enclosures





Pace Analytical Services, Inc. f241 Bellevue Street - Suite 9 Green Bay, Wt 54302 (929)469-2438

#### CERTIFICATIONS

Project

14JR03

Pace Project No.: 4097292

Green Bay Certification IDs
1241 Bellevus Street, Green Bay, WI 54302
Horida/NEL AP Certification #, E87948
Illinois Certification #, 200050
Kentucky Certification #, 24168
Minnesota Certification #, 055-998-334

New York Certification #, 11888 North Diskota Certification #, R-150 South Carolina Certification #, 83006001 US Dept of Agriculture #, S-76505 Wisconsin Certification #, 405132750

#### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full. without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.:

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 1241 Sellevue Street - Street 9 Green Bay, Wi 54302 (920)469-2436



#### SAMPLE SUMMARY

Project.

14JR03 FOIA FARM

Pace Project No.: 4097292

Lab ID	Sample ID	Matrix	Date Collected	Date Received
4097292001	SILAGE	Water	06/02/14 12:55	06/02/14 15:20
4097/292002	CONFLUENCE WIDITCH	Water	06/02/14 13:08	06/02/14 15:20
4097292003	FEEDLOT RUNOFF	Water	66/02/14 13:50	06/02/14 15:20
4097292004	DUPLICATE SOZ	Water	06/02/14 13:08	D6/32/14 15:20
4097292005	BLANK	Water	06/02/14 14:05	05/02/14 15:20





#### SAMPLE ANALYTE COUNT

Project

14JR03 FOIA FAR


Page Project No.:

409,7292

Lab ID	Sample ID	Method	Analysis	Analytes Reported
4097292001	SILAGE	SM 9222D	DEY	- 1
		SM 5210B	HKV	1
4097292002	CONFLUENCE W DITCH	SM 9222D	DEY "	া
		SM-5210B	HKV-	1
4057252003	FEEDLOT RUNOFF	SM 92220	DEY	4
		SM 5210B	HKV	*
097292004	DUPLICATE SOZ.	SM 52108	HKV	1
1097292005	BLANK	SM 5210B	HKV	1

#### REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc...





Project:

14JR03

FARM

Pace Project No.: Sample: SILAGE 4097282

Lab ID: 4097292001

Collected: 05/02/14 12:55 Received: 06/02/14 15:20 Matrix: Water

Results

COQ

LOD

Prepared

Analyzed

CAS No.

Qual

Parameters: 9222D MICRO Fecal Coll by MF

Fecal Colforms

Units Analytical Method: SM 92220 Preparation Method: SM 92220

THTC CFU/100 mL

100000

100000 10000 06/02/14 16:55 08/02/14 16:55

10

5210B.BOD, 5 day

Analytical Method: SM 5210B Preparation Method: SM 5210B

2000


2000 1000 06/02/14 15:55 06/07/14 12:15

BOD, 5 day

6800 mg/L

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written comeant of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.





Project

Pace Project No.: 4097292

Sample: CONFLUENCE W OITCH

Lab ID: 4097292002

Units

Collected: 05/02/14 13:08 Received: 05/02/14 15:20 Mailbr: Water

**Parameters** 

Results

LOO

LOD

Prepared

Analyzed

CAS No. Qual

9222D MICRO Fecal Coll by MF

Analytical Method: SM 9222D Preparation Method: SM 9222D

Fecal Colifornis

14200000 CFU/100 mL

100000

100000 19000 06/02/14 16:55

5210B BOD, 5 day

BOD, 5 day

457 mg/L


Analytical Method: SM 52109 Preparation Method: SM 5210B 200

200

100 06/02/14 15:65 06/07/14 12:15

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the visition consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..





Project:

Pace Project No.:

4097292

Sample: FEEDLOT RUNOFF

Lab ID: 4097292003

Units

Collected: 06/02/14 13:50

Reserved: 06/02/14 15:20

Parameters

Results

LOQ

LOD DF Prepared

Analyzed

CAS No.

Qual

9222D MICRO Fecal Coll by MF

Analytical Method; SM 9222D Preparation Method; SM 9222D

Fecal Coliforms

22000000 CFL#100 mL

1000000 1000000 10000 06/02/14 16:55 06/02/14 16:55

5210B BOD, 5 day

Analytical Method: SM 5210B Preparation Method: SM 6210B


BOD, 5 day

78.8 mg/L

75.0 37.5 06/02/14 15:55 06/07/14 12:15

REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.





Project:

14JR03 FOIA

Pace Project No. 4097252

Sample: DUPLICATE SO2

Lab (U. 4097292004

Collected: 06/92/14 13:08

LOD

Received: 06/02/14 15:20 Matrix: Water

Parameters

Results

Units

DF

Prepared

Analyzed

CAS No. Qual

5210B BOD, 5 day

Analytical Method: SM 5210B Preparation Method: SM 5210B


LOQ

BOD, 5 day

427 mg/L

200

200 100 06/02/14 15:55 06/07/14 12:15





Project:

14JR03

Pace Project No.: Sample: BLANK 4097292

Lab ID: 4097292005

Collected: 06/02/14 14:95 Received: 06/02/14 15:20 Matrix: Water

Parameters

Units Results

LOQ

LOD

Prepared

Analyzed

CAS No. Qual

5210B BOD, 5 day


BOD, 5 day

Analytical Method: SM 52108 Preparation Method: SM 52108

2.0

2.0

.06/02/14 15:55 06/07/14 12:15





#### QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project:

14JR03 FOIA FARM

Pace Project No.:

4097292

QC Batch:

MB10/3431

Analysis Method:

SM 9222D

QC Batch Method:

SM 9222D

Analysis Description:

9222D MICRO Fecal Coliform by MF

Associated Lab Samples:

4097292001, 4097292002, 4097292003

METHOD BLANK: 986044

Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples:

4097292001, 4097292002, 4097292003

Biank Result Reporting Limit

Analyzed

Parameter Fecal Colloans

Units CFU/100 mL

1.0 06/02/14 16:55

METHOD BLANK: 985048

Matrix, Water

Associated Lab Samples:

4097292001, 4097292002, 4097292003

Blank

Reporting Limit.

Parameter Fecal Coliforms

Units CFU/100 mL Result

1.0 06/02/14 16:55

Analyzed

Qualifiers

Qualifiers

SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 986045

Paremeter

4097292001

Result

Duo Result

RPD

Max RPD

Qualifiers

Fecal Coliforns

CFU/100 mL


Units

TNTC

TNTC

1q

Results precented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where an alternate unit is prescribed to the rigid of the result.





#### QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project

14JR03

Pace Project No.:

4097292

CO Batch:

WET/18818

Analysis Method:

SM 5210B

QC Batch Method:

SM:5210B

Analysis Description:

52108 BOD, 5 day

Associated Lab Samples: 4097292001, 4097292002, 4097292003, 4097292004, 4097292005

METHOD BLANK: 983847

Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples:

4097292001, 4097292002, 4097292003, 4097292004, 4097292005

Spike

Conc.

Parameter

Blank Resuit Reporting Limit

Analyzed

BOD, 5 day

mg/L

Units

ND

2.0 06/07/14 12:15

Qualifiers

LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE & LCSD:

Parameter

Parameter

983850 LCSO

LCS LCSD % Rec Limits

Max RPD

20

BOD, 5 day

Units mg/L

LCS Result

198

Result

% Rec. % Rec 115 114 84.6-115

Qualifiers.

SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 983651

Units

4097292002

Dup Result RPD.

Max RPD

Qualifiers

BOD, 5 day


mg/L

Result 457

442

20

Results presented on this page are in the units indicated by the "Units" column except where so alternate unit is presented to the right of the result.





#### QUALIFIERS

Project

14JR03J<mark>FOIA</mark> FARN

Paca Project No.:

4097292

#### DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to changes in sample preparation, dilution of the sample aliquot, or moisture content.

ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.

d - Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.

MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit:

PRL - Pace Reporting Limit.

RL - Reporting Limit.

S - Surregate

1,2-Diphenylhydrazine (\$270 listed analyte) decomposes to Azobenzene.

Consistent with ERA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate % recovery and RPD values:

LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)

MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)

DUP - Sample DupScate

RPD - Relative Percent Difference

NC - Not Calculable.

SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up

U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.

N-Nitroscaliphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270. The result reported for each analyte is a composed concentration.

Pace Analytical is TNI accredited. Contact your Pace FM for the current list of accredited analytes.

TNI- The NELAC Institute.


#### WORKORDER QUALIFIERS

WO: 4097292

[1] Opened to revise comment on -001, SVM 6/10/14

#### ANALYTE QUALIFIERS

Fecal Colifora count too numerous to count as defined by method. Sample concentration is >190,000 CFU/100 mL.





### QUALITY CONTROL DATA CROSS REFERENCE TABLE

Project:

Pace Project No.: 4097292

CabilD	Sample ID	QC Batch Method	QC Batch	Analytical Method	Analytical Batch
4097292001	SILAGE	SM 9222D	MBIO/3430	SM 9222D	MBIO/3431
4097292002	CONFLUENCE WI DITCH	SM 9222D	MBIO/3430	SM 9222D	MBIO/3431
4097292003	FEEDLOT RUNOFF	SM 9222D	MBIO/3430	SM 9222D	MBIO/8431
4097292001	SILAGE	SM 5210B	WET/18818	SM 5210B	WET/18903
4097292002	CONFLUENCE W/ DITCH	SM 52108	WET/18818	SM 5210B	WET/18903
4097292003	FEEDLOT RUNOFF	SM 5210B	WET/18818	SM 5210B	WET/18903
4097292004	DUPLICATE SO2	SM:5210B	WET/18818	SM 5210B	WET/18903
4097292005	BLANK	SM 5210B	WEI/18818	SM-5210B	WET/18903

(Please Print Clearly)		PPER MIDWEST REGION	Page 1 of
Company Name: FPA		N: 612-607-1700 Wii 920-469-2436	10
Branch/Location: Chicogo, 11	Pace Analytical *		4067892 \$
Project Contact: Joan Rogers	www.pacosans.com	Quote #	Land of Land and Land
Phone: 312-886-8785	CHAIN OF CUSTOD	Mell To Contact:	Ď.
Project Number: 14JR 03	Projecyation Codes AnNonia Saltice Codes Codes (Saltice Codes Codes Annonia Saltice Codes	FAIT To Provide and	Age - Committee of the
Project Name: (Personal Parm)	N-Solium Bisulato Soluton I-Sodium Thiosulfale: J-Cifier	Mall To Address:	
Project State:	MATERIAL STATE OF STA		5/2/1
Sampled By (Print): Shain Raspecs	PRESERVATION SHOW WEEK AND W	Involce To Contact:	At
Sampled By (Sign):	(gotse), (reason V.A.	Invoice To Company:	-" M
Regulator		Invoice To Address:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Data Package Options MS/MSD Mi	latrix Codes	maddie to Adding	· .
(eillable) On your sample 3 = Sets	Waller RAS CO	The state of the s	- And the second of the second
(bilable) (5 Director) (7 DI POSTO DE CONTROL DE CONTRO	SW = Surface Water 1867-22	Involce To Phone:	نەمەلىرى بىلىنىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىدىد
And controls   gl= godes	SW = Surface Water WW = Weeter Water WW = Wildon SULECTION		COMMENTS Profile #
RAGELAGA CLIENT PIELD ID DATE	That: MATRIX CONTRACTOR		Use Only)
501 Slage 6/2/		1-14pA 1-7	stevile.
502 Confluence W/ Dock 6/19			<u> </u>
SOF Feed lot-Runoff lelzlig	4 /320 X X	1-500 ply A	
			<del></del>
503 Duplingte 802 621		1-16pA	Harriston and the state of the
DOS Brank 62/2	- La Jan		one and the state of the state
			<u> </u>
			7
Rush Turnaround Time Requested - Prelims (Rush TAT subject to approvalising change)	stinduished by Date/fine by Date/fine 500	HUMAN TULL GAME 1720	PACE Project No.
Date Needed: The It's Ho		Delevid By: Deleviden:	4091292
Transmit Prelim Rush Results by (complete wrigtyou want)		Office	Pricelpt Tump = CO-TC
Ernal #2:	einkgrijelned By: Date/Timus: Fin	soelved By: Date/Time:	Sample Receipt pH
The state of the s	elikipitehod By: Daté/Time: (ça	served by: Other Tiple:	OK / Adjusted
Sample, on HOLD are subject to Re	spinetileheir By: Caloffine Fra	Solved By: Date Time;	Cooler Custody Seal Present / Not Present
special printing and rolesses of liability	regional and the second	energian with	Intact / Not Intact